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How to compute **multi-joins** (over graphs) ...

\[(x, y, z) \leftarrow R(x, y), S(y, z), T(z, x)\]

... in a multi-round **shared nothing cluster** setting ...

... with **communication cost** that is **worst-case optimal**?
Introduction

Worst-case optimality:

- **Output size**: AGM bound [Atserias, Grohe & Marx 08]
  
  \[ \text{query output} = n^\alpha. \]

- **Optimal sequential algorithms**: (w.r.t running-time)
  
  Leapfrog-trie-join, NPRR, Generic Join
Worst-case optimal communication cost:

- **Load** = maximal amount of messages received by any server in any communication round

- **Lowerbound**
  \[
  \text{load} \geq \frac{m}{p^{1/p^*}}. \quad \text{[Koutris, Beame & Suciu 16]}
  \]

- **Optimal parallel algorithms:** (w.r.t communication cost)
  [Koutris, Beame & Suciu 16]
  
  Ad-hoc algorithms for chains, stars, simple cycles
Main Result

A parallel algorithm exists for computing join queries over graphs using only a constant number of rounds and

$$\text{load} \leq \tilde{O}(m/p^{1/\rho^*}).$$

Query/schema restrictions:

- Arity at most two
- No projections
- No self-joins

Essentially optimal:

- Up to a poly-log factor
- Data-complexity
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Massively Parallel Communication Model: [Koutris,Suciu 2011]
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For a constant-round algorithm to be correct for given query on every instance, the worst-case load is

\[ \geq \frac{m}{\rho^{1/\rho^*}} \]

(assuming equi-sized relations)

Through AGM bound
\( \rho^* = \text{Fractional Edge Covering Number} \)

\[ R_1(x, y), R_2(y, z), R_3(z, x), R_4(z, u), R_5(u, w), T_6(u, t), T_7(t, s), T_8(s, u) \]

**Query Graph**

- **Objective function:** Assign a positive weight to every edge
- **Constraint:** Every vertex incident to sum of weights \( \geq 1 \)
- **Optimization goal:** Minimize total sum of assigned weights

\( \rho^* = 7/2 \)
Hypercube (= shares algorithm)

= Single-round hash-join algorithm
Introduced by [Afrati, Ullman, 2010]

If database has no skew, runs with load:

\[ \leq \frac{m}{p^{1/\tau^*}} \]

(w.h.p. and ignoring poly-log factor)

[Beame, Koutris, Suciu 2013]
Objective function: Assign a positive weight to every edge.

Constraint: Every vertex incident to sum of weights $\leq 1$

Optimization goal: **Maximize** total sum of assigned weights
Relation between $\tau^*$ and $\rho^*$?

Solution is tight if satisfies $=$ rather than $\leq$ or $\geq$.

For general hypergraphs: No clear relation between $\tau^*$ and $\rho^*$!

For simple graphs:

- Optimal half-integral fractional edge packings exist (using only weights 1, 1/2 and 0)
- $\tau^* \leq \frac{|\text{vars}(Q)|}{2} \leq \rho^*$ (assign weights 1/2 to all vertices)
- $\tau^* + \rho^* = |\text{vars}(Q)|$
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Heavy-Hitter Configurations

Example Query:
\[(x, y, z) \leftarrow R_1(x, y), R_2(y, z), R_3(z, u)\]

**Heavy-hitter**: value with degree \(> \delta\) (in some direction)

**Skew**: some heavy-hitter exists
Heavy-hitter configuration $(\delta, H)$: A skew threshold value $\delta$ + labeling of query variables with "heavy" (H) or "light" (others).

Matching instance $I_{(\delta,H)} = \text{induced subinstance where heavy variables have only the heavy values, light variables only the light values.}$
Break Skewed Instance in Understandable Pieces

Evaluation strategy:
Compute $Q$ in parallel over all instances $I_{(\delta,H)}$ using the same $p$ servers.

For Fixed $\delta$:

Claim: $\bigcup_{H \subseteq \text{vars}(Q)} Q(I_{(\delta,H)}) = Q(I)$.

As the number of configurations depends on $Q$, maximal load $\leq \max_H \{\text{maximal load to compute } Q \text{ on } I_{(\delta,H)}\}$. (ignoring constants)
The Algorithm in a Nutshell

Preprocessing:

- *Identify where skew is*
  
  Heavy-hitters and degrees of heavy-hitters.

Algorithm:

1. Break skewed instance in understandable pieces
2. Divide and Conquer strategy to deal with skew
3. Solve remaining (skew-free) problem with Hypercube
Example query

$\tau^* = \rho^* = \frac{|\text{vars}(Q)|}{2}$
Threshold value: \( \delta = \frac{m}{p^{1/|\text{vars}(Q)|}} \)

Do computation for each heavy-hitter configuration in parallel

“all light”  “all heavy”  “hybrid”
Use the Hypercube algorithm

- Due to tightness: $\tau^* = \rho^* = |\text{vars}(Q)|/2$
- non skewed means: degree $\leq \delta = \frac{m}{p^{1/|\text{vars}(Q)|}} = \frac{m}{p^{1/(2\tau^*)}}$
- Hypercube ensures load $\leq \frac{m}{p^{1/\tau^*}} = \frac{m}{p^{1/\rho^*}}$. 

The Algorithm by Example: “All light”
The Algorithm by Example: “All heavy”

Broadcast all relations

- A value is heavy if degree $> \delta = \frac{m}{p^{1/|\text{vars}(Q)|}}$.
- An heavy attribute has $\leq p^{1/|\text{vars}(Q)|}$ heavy values.
- A heavy relation has $\leq p^{2/|\text{vars}(Q)|}$ heavy tuples.
- Every server receives at most $p^{2/|\text{vars}(Q)|}$ tuples.
- $p^{2/|\text{vars}(Q)|} \leq \frac{m}{p^{2/|\text{vars}(Q)|}} = \frac{m}{p^{1/\rho^*}}$ due to $m \geq p^2$.

(ignoring the constants)
Step 1: Broadcast heavy relation

- As before: load $\leq \frac{m}{\rho^{1/\rho^*}}$ due to $m \geq p^2$.

Refocus:

- Solution can be easily extended.
Step 2: Assign group of servers to every heavy value

- Combination of outputs = complete output
size of group $p' = p^{(|\text{vars}(Q)|-1)/|\text{vars}(Q)|}$
(because $\leq p^{1/|\text{vars}(Q)|}$ heavy values)

**Step 3:** Semi-join reduce involved relations
- reductions are cheap: 2 rounds and load $\leq \frac{m}{p'} \leq \frac{m}{p^{1/p^*}}$
(because we have $> 2$ light variables)

**Refocus:**
- Output for simpler query can be translated to output for original query by simply adding to every tuple the locally known heavy value.
Step 4: Hypercube

- degrees \( \leq \frac{m}{p^{1/|\text{vars}(Q)|}} = \frac{m}{p^{1/(|\text{vars}(Q)|-1)}} \leq \frac{m}{p^{1/|\text{vars}(Q')|}} = \frac{m}{p^{1/(2\tau^*(Q'))}} \)
- Hypercube guarantees load \( \leq \frac{m}{p^{1/\tau^*(Q')}} \leq \frac{m}{p^{1/\rho^*(Q)}} \)

done

Sometimes more complex: algorithm uses up to 9 rounds
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Main Result

Every conjunctive query without self-joins, that is full, over relations with arities at most two can be computed in 9 rounds with load $\leq \tilde{O}\left(\frac{m}{\rho^{1/\rho^*}}\right)$.

Essentially optimal

$\rho^*$ seems the right way to express optimality for the communication cost of distributed query evaluation algorithms, at least when relation arities do not exceed two.
Does an algorithm exist with worst-case optimal load $m/p^{1/\rho^*}$ for queries over relations with arbitrary-arities?

- relation between edge cover / packing unclear in general
- half-integral edge cover/packing does not always exist
- queries exist where $\tau^* > \rho^*$

$$R_1(x_1, y_1, z_1), R_2(x_2, y_2, z_2), S_1(x_1, x_2), S_2(y_1, y_2), S_3(z_1, z_2).$$

⇒ Hypercube cannot be used even when there is no skew

Is $m/p^{1/\rho^*}$ a tight lowerbound for joins over arbitrary-arity relations?
Future Work (2)

Are the 9 rounds essential?

What if queries have existential quantification (projections)?

What if the database has dependencies?
Thank you!